

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,541	SAKATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph D. Torres	2133		

All Participants: **Status of Application:** New Case

(1) Joseph D. Torres. (3) _____.

(2) John Mattingly. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2004 **Time:** 2:00pm

Type of Interview:
 Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
A telephone call was made to John Mattingly on 24 May 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)